

## Compare Two Population Means $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ Based on Two Independent Random Samples

**Setting:** Suppose that  $x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1n_1}$  are randomly selected from the first population, which has mean  $\mu_1$  and variance  $\sigma_1^2$ , and  $x_{21}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{2n_2}$  are randomly selected from the second population, which has mean  $\mu_2$  and variance  $\sigma_2^2$ .

The first sample yields a sample average  $\bar{x}_1$  and a sample variance  $s_1^2$  and the second sample yields a sample average  $\bar{x}_2$  and a sample variance  $s_2^2$ .

	Sample 1	Sample 2
Size	$n_1$	$n_2$
Average	$\bar{x}_1$	$\bar{x}_2$
Variance	$s_1^2$	$s_2^2$

**Objective:** compare  $\mu_1$  with  $\mu_2$  or, equivalently, infer about the difference between  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ .

### Statistical Assumptions:

1. Two independent random samples are available.
2. (normality) – Both populations are normally distributed.
3. (homogeneity) – Two populations have the same variances, *i.e.*,  $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 \equiv \sigma^2$ .

In summary, two independent random samples are taken from  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma^2)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma^2)$ , respectively.

### Sampling Distribution of $\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2$ :

A natural point estimate of  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$  is  $\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2$ . Under the above statistical assumptions,

$$\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1 - \mu_2, \sigma^2 \cdot (\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})),$$

where the common variance  $\sigma^2$  can be estimated by the *pooled* estimator given by

$$s_p^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (x_{1i} - \bar{x}_1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} (x_{2i} - \bar{x}_2)}{(n_1 - 1) + (n_2 - 1)} = \frac{(n_1 - 1) \cdot s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1) \cdot s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}$$

**An  $(1 - \alpha)$  Confidence Interval for  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ :**

$$(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) \pm t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{(n_1+n_2-2)} \sqrt{s_p^2 \cdot \left( \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)},$$

**Hypothesis Testing about  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ :**

1. Hypotheses

	Two-Sided	Upper-Tailed	Lower-Tailed
Null	$H_0 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 = D_0$	$H_0 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 \leq D_0$	$H_0 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 \geq D_0$
Alternative	$H_0 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq D_0$	$H_0 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 > D_0$	$H_0 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 < D_0$

2. Test Statistic

$$T = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - D_0}{\sqrt{s_p^2 \left( \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)}}$$

Null Distribution: under  $H_0$ ,  $T \sim t^{(n_1+n_2-2)}$ .

3. Decision Rule

One may make a decision using either the rejection region (for a given significance level  $\alpha$ ) or the  $p$ -value.

	Two-Sided	Upper-Tailed	Lower-Tailed
Rejection Region	$ T  > t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{(n_1+n_2-2)}$	$T > t_{\alpha}^{(n_1+n_2-2)}$	$T < -t_{\alpha}^{(n_1+n_2-2)}$
$p$ -value	$2 P(t^{(n_1+n_2-2)} >  T )$	$P(t^{(n_1+n_2-2)} > T)$	$P(t^{(n_1+n_2-2)} < T)$

4. Make a Decision

Reject  $H_0$  if the observed or computed  $T$  falls into the rejection region or the computed  $p$ -value is less than  $\alpha$ .

**Example**

In a study of iron deficiency among infants, samples of infants following different feeding regimens were compared. One group contained breast-fed infants, while the children in another group were fed a standard baby formula without any iron supplements. Here are summary results on blood hemoglobin levels at 12 months of age.

	Formula	Breast-fed
size	19	23
average	12.4	13.3
s.d.	1.8	1.7

1. Set up a test to test that the mean hemoglobin level is higher among breast-fed babies at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 : \quad & \mu_1 - \mu_2 \geq 0 \\ H_a : \quad & \mu_1 - \mu_2 < 0 \end{aligned}$$

First compute the pooled estimate of the common variance  $\sigma^2$ :

$$s_p^2 = \frac{(n_1 - 1) \cdot s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1) \cdot s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2} = \frac{(19 - 1) \cdot 1.8^2 + (23 - 1) \cdot 1.7^2}{19 + 23 - 2} = 3.05$$

Then the test statistic is

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - D_0}{\sqrt{s_p^2 \left( \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)}} \\ &= \frac{(12.4 - 13.3) - 0}{\sqrt{3.05 \left( \frac{1}{19} + \frac{1}{23} \right)}} \\ &= -1.61 \end{aligned}$$

We reject  $H_0$  if  $T < -t_{\alpha}^{(n_1+n_2-2)} = -t_{.05}^{(19+23-2)} = -t_{.05}^{(40)} = -1.684$ . So  $H_0$  is rejected at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

2. Find the  $p$ -value for the above test

**Solution:**

$p$ -value =  $P(t^{(n_1+n_2-2)} < T) = P(t^{(40)} < -1.61) = P(t^{(40)} > 1.61)$  by symmetry of  $t$  distribution. According to table VI, It can be found that

$$.05 < p\text{-value} < .10$$

since

$$t_{.10}^{(40)} = 1.303 < T = 1.61 < 1.684 = t_{.05}^{(40)}.$$

3. State the statistical assumptions that are necessary to guarantee the validity of the above analysis.
4. Construct a 95% CI for the difference in the mean hemoglobin levels between the breast-feeding group and the formula-feeding group.

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) \pm t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{(n_1+n_2-2)} \sqrt{s_p^2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)} \\ &= (12.4 - 13.3) \pm t_{.025}^{(40)} \sqrt{3.05 \left(\frac{1}{19} + \frac{1}{23}\right)} \\ &= -.9 \pm 2.201 \cdot .56 = (-2.03, .23) \end{aligned}$$

5. Test if the mean hemoglobin levels between two groups is significantly different at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

(Hint: make use of the 95% CI in part d.)